	Application No.		A	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	mary	10/501,177	c	OOK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Α	rt Unit	
		Lorna M. Douyon	17	751	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>After Non-final; Now Allowed</u>					
(1) Andrew N. Parfomak.		(3)			
(2) <u>Lorna M. Douyon</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 15 August 2007		Time: <u>2:30PM</u>			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		ant's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
<del></del>		•			
Claims discussed: 2, 11-16, 19-22			٠		
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS D	ISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant to provide a significant resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ation. Th eparate	e examiner will provide a record of the substance o	written : of the int	summary of the	ne substance
			•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (	Applican	t/Applicant's Representati	ive Signa	ature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicants' representative to amend the above claims to be consistent to the claims they depend upon. The specification is also amended as directed in the amendment to the specification dated 05/08/2007. Details of the amendment is attached herewith.